Approved For Release 2004/02/24 CIA-RDP73B00296R000400070008-3

25X1

25X1

25X1

JOURNAL

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL

Wednesday - 28 July 1971

Following a meeting with Colonel White
 representatives, Mr. Houston and I talked with Maury Lanman, Assistant
General Counsel (Fiscal Matters), OSD, and presented to him the response
suggested in Mr. Houston's memorandum of this date to the Executive
Director on the subject of S 2254 We also so the Executive
Director on the subject of S. 2254. We also referred to White House
instructions to the effect that there should be no discussion of the use of
and no restriction on the President's options in
dealing with Southeast Asia generally. It was our strong recommendation
that before responding to Senator Fulbright on this bill the State Department
consult the White House. Mr. Lanman agreed and said he was recommending
the same course of action to State and ISA.
Met with Ed Brogger 1 Chief C
1 WILL BU DIASWELL UNIEL COUNCEL Senate
Armed Services Committee, and brought to his attention the fact that Senator
Case's bill (S. 2254), which appears to be an amendment to the Special Foreign
Assistance Act, in fact also contains an amendment to the Military Procure
ment Act of 1966, as amended. I raised with Braswell the matter of Armed
Services Committee jurisdiction over military procurement authorization
registation. Braswell said he was aware of this, but he added he thought it
would be a useless exercise to contest Foreign Relation Committee's jurisdiction
over this aspect of the Case bill inasmuch as it was his understanding that other
members of the Foreign Relations Committee propose to add many amendments
to the Foreign Assistance Act before it is reported out of the Foreign Relations
Committee and on the Senate floor. I gave Braswell the thrust of Mr. Houston's
memorandum on the bill and agreed to provide him with a spreadsheet giving
our comments on all four Case bills (S. 2251-S. 2254).

CONFIDENTIAL